

Qualified Long-term Preservation Service Practice Statement

QLPS/PS Unique object ID (OID): **1.3.6.1.4.1.57890.1.4.1** Version 1.12 Valid since 2023-05-15



Approvals

Revision history

Version	Valid since	Description
1.00		First official version of the document for audit
1.10		Adjusted according audit remark
1.11		Fixed minor wording errors when comparing EN and LT versions.
1.12	2023-05-15	CMS signatures in PDF are permitted; clarification for non-valid digital signature preservation

Approval of the document

	Name	Date	Signature
Reviewed by	Saulius Ragaišis	2023-04-05	
Approved by	Antanas Mitašiūnas	2023-05-15	

MitSoft

Table of content

1. IN	TRODUCTION	5
1.1.	Overview	5
1.2.	Identification	5
1.3.	Users and fields of application of the preservation service	6
1.4.	Conformance. Its confirmation and verification	6
1.5.	Contact information	6
2. Re	ferences	7
3. De	finitions of terms and abbreviations	8
4. Mi	tSoft PSP: General Concepts	10
4.1.	Functional goals of preservation service	10
4.2.	Preservation storage models	10
4.2	2.1. Preservation service with storage (WST)	11
4.2	2.1.1 Architecture	11
4.2	2.1.2 Workflow in MitSoft PS	11
4.2	2.2. Preservation service without storage (WOS)	13
4.2	2.2.1 Architecture	13
4.2	2.2.2 Workflow in MitSoft PS	14
5. Ri	sk assessment	16
6 PC		17
0	LICILS AND PRACTICES	
6.1.	Preservation service practice statement	
6.1. 6.1	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations	
6.1. 6. ²	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3.	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4.	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5.	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6.	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy Signature validation policy	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7.	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy Signature validation policy Subscriber agreement	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7. 7. PS	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy Signature validation policy Subscriber agreement SP MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7. 7. PS 7.1.	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy Signature validation policy Subscriber agreement FP MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION Internal organization	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7. 7. PS 7.1. 7.	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy Signature validation policy Subscriber agreement FP MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION Internal organization 1.1. Organization reliability	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7. 7. PS 7.1. 7.1. 7.2	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy Signature validation policy Subscriber agreement FP MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION Internal organization 1.1. Organization reliability 1.2. Segregation of duties	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7. 7. PS 7.1. 7.2. 7.2.	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy Signature validation policy Subscriber agreement FP MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION Internal organization 1.1. Organization reliability 1.2. Segregation of duties Human resources	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7. 7. PS 7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy Signature validation policy Subscriber agreement FP MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION Internal organization 1.1. Organization reliability 1.2. Segregation of duties Human resources Asset management	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7. 7. PS 7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5	Preservation service practice statement 1.1. PSP obligations Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy Signature validation policy Subscriber agreement FP MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION Internal organization 1.1. Organization reliability 1.2. Segregation of duties Human resources Asset management	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7. 7. PS 7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 7.6	Preservation service practice statement	
6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. 6.6. 6.7. 7. PS 7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 7.6. 7.7	Preservation service practice statement. 1.1. PSP obligations. Terms and conditions Information security policy Preservation profile Preservation evidence policy. Signature validation policy. Subscriber agreement F MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION Internal organization 1.1. Organization reliability. 1.2. Segregation of duties Human resources Asset management Access control Cryptographic controls Physical and environmental security	

	7.8.	Network security	.26
	7.9.	Incident management	.27
	7.10.	Collection of evidence	.28
	7.11.	Business continuity management	.28
	7.12.	PSP termination and termination plans	.28
	7.13.	Compliance	.29
	7.14.	Cryptographic monitoring	.30
	7.15.	Augmentation of preservation evidences	.32
	7.16.	Export-import package	.32
8	Ор	erational and notification protocols	33
	8.1.	Preservation protocol	.33
	8.2.	Notification protocol	.34
9,	Pre	eservation process	35
	9.1.	Storage of preserved data and evidences	.35
	9.2.	Preservation evidences	.35
	9.3.	Preservation of digital signatures	.35

IV IT SO

1. INTRODUCTION

The joint stock company "MIT-SOFT" (further – the MitSoft) was established on August 1, 1991 and since 1996 is working in software development and services provision for creation and verification of electronic documents having the same legal effect as hand signed paper documents. Information about the MitSoft is available on the website http://www.mitsoft.lt/.

1.1. Overview

The standard ETSI TS 119 511 "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Policy and Security Requirements for Trust Service Providers providing long-term preservation of digital signatures or general data using digital signature techniques" specifies Qualified Long-term preservation service policy for qualified electronic signatures and electronic seals (OID: 0.4.0.19511.1.2). The requirements specified in the policy 0.4.0.19511.1.2 are related neither to concrete technological solutions nor to the organizational structure of the Preservation Service Provider (PSP). Technical solutions, procedures, and personnel policy for the implementation of the policy 0.4.0.19511.1.2 requirements are described in the present MitSoft Qualified Long-term Preservation Service Practice Statement (further – PSPS).

The present PSPS is based upon the following legal acts and normative documents:

- a) The Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on electronic identification and trust services for electronic transactions in the internal market and repealing Directive 1999/93/EC [eIDAS];
- b) ETSI EN 319 401: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); General Policy Requirements for Trust Service Providers";
- c) ETSI TS 119 511: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Policy and Security Requirements for Trust Service Providers providing long-term preservation of digital signatures or general data using digital signature techniques".

While providing the long-term preservation service, PSP carries out the functions of monitoring and augmentation of the preservation objects.

Note regarding the definitions. Qualified Preservation Service Policy (QPSP) means the policy 0.4.0.19511.1.2. Hereafter preservation service (PS) means MitSoft Qualified Long-term Preservation Service, PSP means MitSoft PSP; PSPS means MitSoft PSPS, and so on, i.e. everything that is said applies solely to the MitSoft PSP.

1.2. Identification

The unique identifier (OID) of the PSPS is 1.3.6.1.4.1.57890.1.4.1; the values of its fields are given in the Table 1.

Name	Value
ISO	1
Organization recognized by ISO	3
U.S. Department of Defence	6
Internet	1
Private company	4
Private company registered by IANA	1

Table 1. The values of the fields of the unique identifier of the PSPS



Joint stock company "MIT-SOFT"	57890
Subdivision MitSoft	1
Document type (preservation service practice statement)	4
Document version	1

The version of the PSPS in effect is available on the website of MitSoft PSP.

1.3. Users and fields of application of the preservation service

Qualified long-term preservation service allows extension of the validity status of an electronic signature or electronic seal over long periods of time in spite of obsolescence of cryptographic technology such as crypto algorithms, key sizes or hash functions, key compromises or the loss of the ability to check the validity status of public key certificates. The users of the qualified long-term preservation service provided by the PSP can be legal or natural persons needing the services provided by the PSP.

Neither QPSP nor PSPS imposes any limitations for using the Qualified long-term preservation service. They can be used when an owner doesn't want to take care by himself about the preservation of validity status of the electronic signatures and seals and delegates it to PSP.

PSP provides public services; however, it can also serve closed user groups.

1.4. Conformance. Its confirmation and verification

PSP confirms that the MitSoft preservation service is EU qualified preservation service and conforms to the QPSP for WOS and WST storage models PDS goal according to defined by PSP preservation profiles and fulfils all the defined requirements for its activities.

The compliance of the PSP 's activities with the QPSP and PSPS is verified as defined by the PSPS, at least every two years.

1.5. Contact information

The PSPS is managed by the joint stock company "MIT-SOFT", which contact information is given in the Table 2.

PSP:	The joint stock company "MIT-SOFT"
Address:	Kalvarijų str. 276-100, LT-08316 Vilnius
Phone:	+370-5-2333922
Fax:	+370-5-2136191
URL:	http://www.mitsoft.lt/
E-mail:	info@mitsoft.lt

Table	2.	Contact	information	of the	PSP
anic	~ .	Contact	mormation	or the	гЭг

MitSoft

2. References

[eIDAS]	-	Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on electronic identification and trust services for electronic transactions in the internal market and repealing Directive 1999/93/EC.
[EN 319 102-1]	-	ETSI EN 319 102-1 Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Procedures for Creation and Validation of AdES Digital Signatures; Part 1: Creation and Validation.
[EN 319 401]	-	ETSI EN 319 401: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); General Policy Requirements for Trust Service Providers".
[EN 319 422]	-	ETSI EN 319 422 Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Time-stamping protocol and time-stamp token profiles.
[ISO 27002]	-	ISO/IEC 27002:2013: "Information technology – Security techniques – Code of practice for information security management".
[ISO 27005]	-	ISO/IEC 27005:2011: "Information technology – Security techniques – Information security risk management".
[RFC 3161]	-	RFC 3161 Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure. Time-Stamp Protocol (TSP).
[RFC 5816]	-	RFC 5816 ESSCertIDv2 Update for RFC 3161.
[TS 119 312]	-	ETSI TS 119 312 "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Cryptographic Suites" standard.
[TS 119 511]	_	ETSI TS 119 511: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Policy and Security Requirements for Trust Service Providers providing long-term preservation of digital signatures or general data using digital signature techniques".
[TS 119 512]	-	ETSI TS 119 512: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Protocols for trust service providers providing long-term data preservation services".

Marsoni

3. Definitions of terms and abbreviations

Archival time stamp: ArchiveTimeStamp for XAdES signatures, archive-timestamp for CAdES signatures, or DocumentTimeStamp for PAdES signatures.

Compromise: a loss, theft, modification, illegal use, or any other security violation of the confidential data.

Data object: actual binary/octet data being operated on (e.g. transformed, digested, or signed) by an application and which may be associated with additional information like an identifier, the encoding, size or type.

EU qualified preservation service: preservation service that meets the requirements for qualified preservation service for qualified electronic signatures and/or for qualified electronic seals as laid down in Regulation (EU) 910/2014 [eIDAS].

EU qualified time-stamping authority: qualified trust-service provider issuing qualified electronic time stamps as laid down in Regulation (EU) 910/2014 [eIDAS].

Expected evidence duration: for a preservation service with temporary storage or without storage, duration during which the preservation service expects that the preservation evidence can be used to achieve the preservation goal.

Export-import package: information extracted from the preservation service including the submission data object (SubDO), the preservation evidences and preservation-related metadata, allowing another preservation service to import it in order to continue to achieve the preservation goal based on this information.

Long-term: time period during which technological changes may be a concern.

Long-term preservation: extension of the validity status of a digital signature over long periods of time and/or extension of provision of proofs of existence of data over long periods of time, in spite of obsolescence of cryptographic technology such as crypto algorithms, key sizes or hash functions, key compromises or of the loss of the ability to check the validity status of public key certificates.

Metadata: data about other data.

Notification protocol: protocol used by a preservation service to notify the preservation client.

Preservation client: component or a piece of software which interacts with a preservation service via the preservation protocol.

Preservation evidence: evidence produced by the preservation service which can be used to demonstrate that one or more preservation goals are met for a given preservation object.

Preservation evidence policy: set of rules that specify the requirements and the internal process to generate or how to validate a preservation evidence.

Preservation goal: one of the following objectives achieved during the preservation time frame: extending over long periods of time the validity status of digital signatures, providing proofs of existence of data over long periods of time, or augmenting externally provided preservation evidences.

Preservation interface: component implementing the preservation protocol on the side of the preservation service.

Preservation manifest: data object in a preservation object container referring to the preservation data objects or additional information and metadata in the preservation object container.

Preservation object: typed data object which is submitted to, processed by or retrieved from a preservation service.

Preservation object container: container which contains a set of data objects and optionally related metadata providing information about the data objects and optionally preservation manifest(s) specifying its content and relationships.

MitSoft

Preservation period: for a preservation service with storage, duration during which the preservation service preserves the submitted preservation objects and the associated evidences.

Preservation profile: uniquely identified set of implementation details pertinent to a preservation storage model and one or more preservation goals which specifies how preservation evidences are generated and validated.

Preservation protocol: protocol to communicate between the preservation service and a preservation client.

Preservation scheme: generic set of procedures and rules pertinent to a preservation storage model and one or more preservation goals which outlines how preservation evidences are created and validated Note: Different preservation profiles can implement the same preservation scheme.

Preservation service: service capable of extending the validity status of a digital signature over long periods of time and/or of providing proofs of existence of data over long periods of time.

Preservation service provider: trust service provider providing a preservation service.

Preservation service policy: trust service policy for a preservation service.

Preservation service practice statement: trust service practice statement for a preservation service.

Preservation storage model: one of the following ways of implementing a preservation service: with storage, with temporary storage, without storage.

Preservation subscriber: see *Subscriber*.

Proof of existence: evidence that proves that an object existed at a specific date/time.

Repository: an internet place where information of the long-term preservation service is made available for the users.

Signer: entity being the creator of a digital signature.

Submission data object (SubDO): original data object provided by the client.

Subscriber: legal or natural person bound by agreement with a preservation trust service provider to any subscriber obligations.

Time stamp: data in electronic form which binds other electronic data to a particular time establishing evidence that these data existed at that time (= electronic time stamp [eIDAS]).

Trusted list: list that provides information about the status and the status history of the trust services from trust service providers regarding compliance with the applicable requirements and the relevant provisions of the applicable legislation.

Validation data: data that is used to validate a digital signature.

ETSI	-	European Telecommunications Standards Institute
OID	-	Object identifier
QPSP	-	Qualified Preservation Service Policy
POC	-	Preservation object container
PS	-	Qualified long-term preservation service
PSP	-	Preservation service provider
PSPS	-	Qualified long-term preservation service practice statement
RRT	-	Communications Regulatory Authority of the Republic of Lithuania
TSA	-	Time-stamping authority
SubDO	-	Submission data object

4. MitSoft PSP: General Concepts

4.1. Functional goals of preservation service

The definition of qualified long-term preservation service by ETSI TS 119 511 foresees three functional goals of preservation services: preservation of digital signatures (PDS), preservation of general data (PGD), augmentation of submitted preservation evidences (AUG). Any combination of these functional goals could be implemented in particular preservation service.

MitSoft PSP provides functional goal - preservation of digital signatures (PDS) within electronic documents/containers of the following formats (specifications): ADOC-V1.0, ADOC-V2.0, EGAS-V1.0, MDOC-V1.0, PDF-LT-V1.0, PDF-RC-V1.0, ASiC-E according to ETSI TS 103174, ASiC-E according to ETSI EN 319162-1, ASiC-S according to ETSI TS 103174, ASiC-S according to ETSI EN 319162-1, PDF with PAdES signature according ETSI TS 103172, PDF with PAdES signature according ETSI EN 319142-1, PDF with CMS signatures.

Preservation service preserves qualified electronic signatures and qualified electronic seals. Preservation service preserves advanced electronic signatures and advanced electronic seals (that are not qualified) only if such a requirement is listed in the Subscriber agreement. Further in this document they are called electronic signatures or seals, or just digital signatures.

Preservation service preserves valid electronic signatures and valid electronic seals. Non-valid electronic signatures and non-valid electronic seals are preserved only if such a requirement is listed in the Subscriber agreement and it is allowed according signature policy.

4.2. Preservation storage models

The definition of qualified long-term preservation service by ETSI TS 119 511 foresees three preservation storage models: preservation service with storage (WST), preservation service with temporary storage (WTS), and preservation service without storage (WOS).

Preservation process involve both sides: preservation client and preservation service provider. A distribution of duties among preservation client and preservation service provider depends on preservation service storage model applied.

Preservation storage model WST allows maximal extent of preservation service delivered by preservation service provider and minimal by preservation client, and contrary, preservation storage model WOS maximally limits preservation service provider's involvement in total preservation process.

MitSoft PSP uses preservation storage model WST to be able to provide maximal extent of preservation service delivered by preservation service provider. Using preservation storage model WST, the actions of preservation client are limited by submission of submission data object and retrieval of submission data object, preservation object including preservation evidences, when needed. This option suits best for small subscribers of preservation service.

Big subscribers of preservation service may have special needs because of huge total volume of objects to be preserved or for any other reason. Therefore, MitSoft PSP provides preservation service without storage (WOS).

MitSoff

4.2.1. Preservation service with storage (WST)

4.2.1.1 Architecture

General architecture of preservation service with storage (WST) is provided in the figure 1.



Fig. 1. General architecture of preservation service with storage (WST)

4.2.1.2 Workflow in MitSoft PS

MitSoft qualified long-term preservation service with storage receives electronic documents and containers from preservation clients. Validation is performed by the preservation service when receiving an electronic document/container and later by the explicit request of the client and during the maintenance process of electronic document/container by preservation service. Received electronic document/container is stored in the MitSoft preservation service storage. Augmentation is timely performed by the request of the maintenance process of preservation service. Later client may request preservation service to get stored electronic document/container with included preservation evidences.

Workflow of the electronic document/container in MitSoft preservation service with storage is presented in the figure 2.





Fig 2. Workflow of electronic document/container in MitSoft preservation service with storage (WST)

- 1. Preservation client submits electronic document/container to preservation service.
- 2. Preservation service receives electronic document/container from the client.
- Preservation service checks if electronic document/container is acceptable. Electronic document/container shall satisfy main format requirements of the electronic document/container specification and shall contain at least one electronic signature or electronic seal. Electronic document/container shall not have viruses, malicious and unauthorized content.
 - 3.1. Preservation client receives operation response (accepted or not), and, in the case of rejection, reason of the rejection from the preservation service.
 - 3.2. In the case of acceptance, preservation service stores electronic document/container together with additional metadata.
- 4. Preservation service validates electronic document/container and electronic signatures and electronic seals within it.
- 5. Preservation service checks whether every electronic signature and electronic seal to be preserved already reached B-LTA level (or corresponding archival signature format for non-baseline signatures).
- Preservation service performs augmentation of electronic signatures and electronic seals. After this augmentation every electronic signature/seal to be preserved reaches B-LTA level (or corresponding archival signature format for non-baseline signatures).
- 7. Preservation service registers electronic signatures and electronic seals to be preserved and contained within electronic document/container for further preservation. For every digital signature registered for preservation additional preservation metadata is collected and stored. Electronic signatures and electronic seals that contain errors preventing to reach B-LTA level (or corresponding archival signature format for non-baseline signatures) will not be registered for preservation.



- 8. The maintenance process performs the maintenance of preserved electronic signatures/seals within electronic documents/containers. The maintenance process executes the preservation if preserved electronic signature/seal should be augmented to ensure its preservation due to some certificate validity expiration in the near future or possible cryptographic algorithm obsolescence.
- 9. Preservation service performs digital signature augmentation by adding new archival time stamps to extend their validity status. Preservation evidences are included into the digital signatures presented within the electronic document/container. Upgraded electronic document/container together with updated additional preservation metadata are stored in the MitSoft preservation storage.
- 10. At some point in time preservation client can decide to request stored electronic document/container.
- 11. Preservation service checks if requested electronic document/container is stored in the MitSoft preservation storage and checks if service caller has a right to access requested electronic document/container.
- 12. Preservation service returns requested electronic document/container together with preservation evidences included within digital signatures to the client; or informs client, that the request cannot be fulfilled.
- 13. Preservation client receives electronic document/container, which contains preserved electronic signatures/seals with incorporated preservation evidences.

Validation is performed for every electronic signature and electronic seal presented in the preserved electronic document/container.

Augmentation is performed for every electronic signature and electronic seal presented in the preserved electronic document/container if it was not recognized as unacceptable for preservation.

4.2.2. Preservation service without storage (WOS)

4.2.2.1 Architecture

General architecture of preservation service without storage (WOS) is provided in the figure 3.



Fig. 3. General architecture of preservation service without storage (WOS)

MitSofi

4.2.2.2 Workflow in MitSoft PS

MitSoft qualified long-term preservation service without storage receives electronic document or container from preservation clients. Validation is performed by the preservation service when receiving an electronic document/container. After validation, augmentation is performed and collected preservation evidences are included into the electronic signatures/seals contained in the preserving electronic document/container. As a preservation evidence upgraded electronic document/container is returned to the preservation client together with calculated expected evidences duration. Neither electronic document/container nor electronic signatures/seals are stored in any MitSoft preservation service storage.

Workflow of the electronic document/container in MitSoft preservation service without storage is presented in the figure 4.



Fig 4. Workflow of electronic document/container in MitSoft preservation service without storage (WOS)

- 1. Preservation client submits electronic document/container to preservation service when preservation event occurs. Preservation is executed if new electronic document/container preservation should be started, or if already preserving electronic document/container should be re-augmented, since expected evidences (used within digital signatures contained in the preserved electronic document/container) duration is going to expire. The management of the preservation events is performed by the preservation client, not by preservation service.
- 2. Preservation service receives electronic document/container containing at least one electronic signature or electronic seal.
- 3. Preservation service validates electronic document/container and electronic signatures and electronic seals within it.
- 4. Preservation service checks if electronic document/container and electronic signatures and electronic seals to be preserved.
- 5. Preservation service checks whether every electronic signature and electronic seal to be preserved already reached B-LTA level (or corresponding archival signature format for non-baseline signatures).

6. Preservation service performs augmentation of electronic signatures and electronic seals. After this augmentation every digital signature to be preserved reaches B-LTA level (or corresponding archival signature format for non-baseline signatures).

MitSofi

- 7. Preservation service performs digital signature augmentation by adding new archival time stamps to extend their validity status. Preservation evidences are included into the digital signatures presented in the electronic document/container. Digital signature augmentation by adding new preservation evidence is performed only if augmentation period is already started, i.e. expected digital signature validity expiration time is in the near future.
- 8. Preservation service prepares response to the client. Preservation service calculates new expected evidence duration and augmentation period. On success, the response contains upgraded electronic document/container with preservation evidences included within electronic signatures/seals, information about recommended next augmentation time and calculated expected evidence duration. Otherwise, the rejection or failure reason is returned.
- 9. Preservation client receives updated electronic document/container from the preservation service. It also receives new expected evidence duration and recommended time for the next augmentation.
- 10. Preservation client stores updated electronic document/container in its own storage. Preservation client should update next augmentation time (and expected evidence duration) for the updated electronic document/container to be able to raise the next preservation event on time.

MitSoff

5. Risk assessment

The PSP carries out a risk assessment to identify, analyse, and evaluate threats to the business assets taking into account business and technical issues. Based on the risk assessment results, the appropriate risk treatment measures are selected, which ensure that the level of security is commensurate to the degree of risk.

The PSP determines all security requirements and operational procedures that are necessary to implement the risk treatment measures chosen, as documented in the information security policy and the present Preservation service practice statement (PSPS).

The risk assessment is approved and the residual risks are accepted by the director of the MitSoft.

PSP regularly (at least once per year) review and revise the risk assessment.

VitSoti

6. POLICIES AND PRACTICES

6.1. Preservation service practice statement

The PSP ensures that it demonstrates the reliability necessary for providing qualified long-term preservation services. In particular:

- a) The practices and procedures used to address the requirements identified in the Qualified preservation service policy (QPSP) are described in the present Preservation service practice statement (PSPS).
- b) The availability of the submitted data objects (SubDO) and the associated preservation evidences is achieved as follows: "Preservation object container (POC) is a submitted electronic document or container, which contains electronic signatures and/or seals (preservation objects) with preservation evidences (time stamps) included by the preservation service. POC is derived from the SubDO, by augmenting preserving digital signatures and timely preservation evidences (time stamps) inclusion. Operations defined by preservation object container electronic document or container (together with all preserved digital signature within it), or submission data object. Therefore, the submitted data objects (SubDO) and the associated preservation period. See for details section 4.8 of Preservation Profile with Storage document.
- c) The PSPS identifies the obligations of all external organizations supporting the PSP services including the applicable policies and practices. Such external organizations are Qualified time-stamping authorities issuing qualified time stamps and Qualified certification authorities issuing qualified electronic signature certificates or qualified electronic seals certificates and providing OCSP or CRL services for these certificates, according to the Qualified time stamping service policy and Qualified electronic signature certificate policy and Qualified electronic seal certificate policy. OCSP and CRL external cervices used for status definition of not qualified certificates are provided by Qualified certification authorities issuing not qualified electronic signature certificates or not qualified electronic seals certificates.

According to agreement Rackray data centre is the host of MitSoft PSP qualified preservation service. Rackray is ISO 27000 certified and TIER3 Facility certified data centre.

Interneto vizija data centre provides dedicated server service for remote backup of MitSoft PSP preserved electronic documents and data under conditions defined in agreement.

- d) The PSPS and other relevant documentation, as necessary to assess conformance to the QPSP, are available to subscribers and relying parties on the website of MitSoft PSP and provided upon request.
- e) The director of the MitSoft has overall responsibility for the PSP with final authority for approving the PSPS.
- f) The director of the MitSoft ensures the implementation of the practices by communicating them to the personnel as appropriate.
- g) The MitSoft PSP has Practices review process, including maintaining the PSPS.
- h) The PSP gives a due notice of changes it intends to make in its PSPS and, following approval as in (e) above, makes the revised PSPS immediately available as required under (d) above.
- i) The provisions made for termination of service are stated in the section 7.12 PSP termination and termination plans.

QPSP is the only preservation service policy supported by the PSP:

- a) Hashing algorithms that can be used to represent the datum being timestamped are specified in the section 7.14. Cryptographic monitoring.
- b) The MitSoft PSP provides qualified long-term preservation of electronic signatures and electronic seals according to the storage model: Preservation With Storage WST and Preservation Without Storage WOS as per QPSP.
- c) The MitSoft PSP provides qualified long-term preservation of electronic signatures and electronic seals according to the goal: Preservation Digital Signatures PDS as per QPSP.
- d) The subscriber obligations are specified in the section 6.1.1.3. Subscriber obligations.
- e) The relying party obligations are specified in the section 6.1.1.4. Relying party obligations.
- f) Information on how to verify the preservation evidences is provided in the section 6.5. Preservation evidence policy.
- g) The long-term preservation service of qualified electronic signatures and seals is qualified preservation service as per Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 [eIDAS].

6.1.1. PSP obligations

6.1.1.1. General

The PSP ensures that all requirements on PSP are implemented as applicable to the QPSP. PSP ensures implementation of the following:

- a) Procedures defined in the present PSPS, including the service of monitoring and augmentation preservation evidences within preservation objects;
- b) Adherence to any additional obligations either indicated in the preservation profile or incorporated by reference.

6.1.1.2. PSP obligations towards subscribers

The PSP meets its claims as given in its published terms and conditions.

6.1.1.3. Subscriber obligations

When relying upon a long-term preservation evidences, the subscriber shall verify that the preservation evidence has been correctly created and validated (for details, see the section 6.1.1.4. Relying party obligations).

6.1.1.4. Relying party obligations

The relying party, when relying upon a preservation object that includes preservation evidence, shall verify that the qualified archival time stamp has been correctly signed and that the private key used to sign the archival time stamp has not been compromised (disclosed to third-parties or unusable for other reasons) until the time of verification.

The archival time stamp is verified during the TSA's certificate validity period; therefore, the validity of the signing key can be checked by making sure that the TSA's certificate has not been revoked.

Besides that, the relying party shall comply with the constraints on the use of the long-term preservation service defined in the QPSP and take any other measures of precaution.

VitSoti

6.1.1.5. Liability

PSP liability and obligations are defined in the Subscriber agreements for provision of service in effect.

6.1.1.6. Legal provisions and interpretations

6.1.1.6.1. The main legal acts

Generation of long-term preservation evidences, their provision, requirements for the providers, and liability is regulated by:

- a) The Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on electronic identification and trust services for electronic transactions in the internal market and repealing Directive 1999/93/EC.
- b) The Law on electronic identification and trust services for electronic transactions of the Republic of Lithuania issued on April 26, 2018.
- c) The Procedure for granting qualified status to trust services providers and trust services they provide and for provision of qualified trust service provider reports to supervisory body, established by the order No. 1V-588 of the director of Communications Regulatory Authority of the Republic of Lithuania issued on April 21, 2018.
- d) The procedure for reporting security and/or integrity incidents in the trust services, established by the order No. 1V-594 of the director of Communications Regulatory Authority of the Republic of Lithuania issued on June 4, 2019.

6.1.1.6.2. Dispute settlement

Any disputes between the PSP and its end-users are resolved by positive-minded negotiations. In a case of failing to settle the dispute, it is addressed to the institutions of law enforcement.

6.1.1.7. Charges

PSP may set the prices for its long-term preservation services.

6.1.1.8. Intellectual property rights

When citing any documentation of the PSP, it is required to provide a reference to its source.

6.2. Terms and conditions

The PSP discloses to all subscribers and potential relying parties the terms and conditions regarding the provision of its qualified long-term preservation service.

These terms and conditions specify the following:

- a) The QPSP being applied.
- b) Any limitations on the use of the service provided including the limitation for damages arising from the use of services exceeding such limitations.
- c) The subscriber's obligations, if any.
- d) Information on how to verify the preservation evidences, and any possible limitations on the validity period associated with it.
- e) The period of time during which PSP event logs are retained.
- f) Limitations of liability.
- g) The applicable legal system.
- h) Procedures for settlement of complaints and disputes.

MitSofi

- i) Whether the qualified long-term preservation service has been assessed to be conformant with the QPSP, and if so through which conformity assessment scheme.
- j) The PSP contact information.
- k) Any undertaking regarding availability.

This information is available on the website of MitSoft PSP in a readily understandable language, and may be complemented by the Subscriber agreements between the PSP and the subscribers.

6.3. Information security policy

The PSP has an information security policy which is approved by the director of the MitSoft and which sets out the organization's approach to managing its information security.

The PSP ensures that administrative and management procedures are applied which are adequate and correspond to recognized best practice. Conflicting duties and areas of responsibility are segregated to reduce opportunities for unauthorized or unintentional modification or misuse of the PSP's assets.

The PSP retains responsibility for all aspects of the provision of qualified long-term preservation service within the scope of the PSP, whether or not functions are outsourced to subcontractors. The MitSoft PSP retains responsibility for the disclosure of relevant practices of all the parties participating in the provision of qualified long-term preservation service.

The responsibility for defining the guidelines for information security, continuous maintaining of infrastructure, documentation, management, and implementation of security measures and operational procedures for the PSP equipment, premises, systems and information assets as well as protection of information and other assets is undertaken by the director of the MitSoft. The PSP ensures the communication of security guidelines and rules to all related personnel who need them in their work.

Security measures and operational procedures for the equipment, premises, systems, and information assets required for provision of qualified long-term preservation service are documented, managed, and followed.

Information security infrastructure necessary for ensuring security is maintained permanently. Any changes affecting security are approved by the director of the MitSoft.

6.4. Preservation profile

The MitSoft PSP supports the following preservation profiles:

- MitSoftQWST profile MitSoft electronic document with storage preservation profile for qualified signatures and seals, which is indicated by the unique object identifier (OID):
 - o 1.3.6.1.4.1.57890.1.5.1
- MitSoftQWOS profile MitSoft electronic document without storage preservation profile for qualified signatures and seals, which is indicated by the unique object identifier (OID):
 - o **1.3.6.1.4.1.57890.1.5.2**

Descriptions of profiles are available on the website of MitSoft PSP.

Submission data objects are electronic documents or containers that contain electronic signatures or electronic seals and actually signed/sealed data. MitSoft PSP does not support submission data objects that contain only hashes of the signed data. Preservation profiles define the actual set of supported submission data object formats.

Details of the preservation objects that will be preserved are listed in the used preservation profile.

MitSofi

6.5. Preservation evidence policy

MitSoft PSP supports the following preservation evidence policy:

- MitSoft Qualified Long-term Preservation Service Preservation Evidence Policy, which is indicated by the unique object identifier (OID):
 - o 1.3.6.1.4.1.57890.1.7.1.x

where x stands for the latest version. Subscribers could find all versions on the repository of MitSoft PSP.

6.6. Signature validation policy

MitSoft PSP supports the following signature validation policy:

- MitSoft Qualified Long-term Preservation Service Signature Policy, which is indicated by the unique object identifier (OID):
 - 1.3.6.1.4.1.57890.1.6.1.x

where x stands for the latest version. Subscribers could find all versions on the repository of MitSoft PSP.

6.7. Subscriber agreement

MitSoft PSP provides qualified long-term preservation service to the subscribers based on the subscriber agreement that contains the following compulsory clauses:

- An acceptance of the terms and conditions by the subscriber.
- The preservation profile(s) will be used by the subscriber.
- Whether advanced electronic signatures and advanced electronic seals (that are not qualified) are also preserved.
- Whether non-valid electronic signatures and non-valid electronic seals (having validation status other than PASSED) are also preserved (if it is aligned with signature policy).
- The formats (specifications) of electronic documents/containers accepted by preservation service.
- Duration of augmentation period.
- Duration of caution period.
- Subscriber's representative named as subscriber's administrator of MitSoft PSP long-term preservation service.
- Who has the right on behalf of the subscriber to access the preservation objects including submitted data objects and preservation evidences.
- Who has the right on behalf of the subscriber to request the traces on the actions related to the preservation objects.
- Wat happens to the data at the end of the preservation period.

IV ITS OF

7. PSP MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION

The PSP follows all the practices indicated in the following clauses.

The provision of a preservation service in response to a request is at the discretion of the PSP depending on the agreements with the subscriber.

7.1. Internal organization

7.1.1. Organization reliability

The PSP ensures that its organization is reliable. In particular:

- a) The PSP is a legal entity according to the law of the Republic of Lithuania, registered in the Register of Legal Entities as UAB "MIT-SOFT"; entity's code is 1207920811.
- b) The PSP has a system for quality and information security management appropriate for the preservation service it is providing.
- c) It employs a sufficient number of personnel having the education, training, technical knowledge, and experience adequate to provision of the preservation service.
- d) Policies and practices under which the PSP operates are based on international standards and are non-discriminatory.
- e) PSP's service is accessible to all applicants whose activities fall within its declared field of operation, and that agree to abide by their obligations as specified by the PSP.
- f) The PSP has adequate arrangements and resources, in accordance with the Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 and national law, to cover liabilities arising from its operations and activities.
- g) The PSP has the financial stability and resources required to operate in conformity with the PSPS, including the requirements for PSP termination.
- h) The policies and procedures for the resolution of complaints and disputes about the provisioning of the preservation service or any other related matters are specified as defined in the section 6.2. Terms and conditions.
- i) The PSP has a documented agreement and contractual relationship in place where the provisioning of service involves third parties.

7.1.2. Segregation of duties

Conflicting duties and areas of responsibility are segregated as defined in the PSP's information security policy (see the section 6.3. Information security policy) to reduce opportunities for unauthorized or unintentional modification or misuse of the PSP assets.

7.2. Human resources

The PSP ensures that personnel and hiring practices enhance and support the trustworthiness of the PSP's operations. In particular:

- a) The PSP employs personnel who possess the expert knowledge, experience, and qualifications necessary for the offered service and as appropriate to the job function.
- b) Appropriate disciplinary sanctions are applied to personnel violating PSP's policies or procedures.
- c) Personnel's security roles and responsibilities, as specified in the PSP's information security policy, are documented in their job descriptions. Trusted

roles, on which the security of the PSP's operation is dependent, are clearly identified.

- d) PSP personnel (both temporary and permanent) have job descriptions defined from the point of view of separation of duties and least privilege, determining position sensitivity based on the duties and access levels, background screening and employee training and awareness. The job descriptions include skills and experience requirements.
- e) Personnel exercise administrative and management procedures and processes that are in line with the PSP's information security management procedures.
- f) PSP employs managerial personnel who possess:

Mason

- Knowledge of qualified long-term preservation of digital signatures technology.
- Knowledge of digital signature technology.
- Familiarity with security procedures for personnel with security responsibilities.
- Experience with information security and risk assessment.
- g) All PSP personnel in trusted roles are free from conflict of interest that might prejudice the impartiality of the PSP operations.
- h) Trusted roles are defined in the PSP's information security policy and include roles that involve the following responsibilities:
 - Security officers: overall responsibility for administering the implementation of the security practices.
 - System administrators: authorized to install, configure, and maintain the PSP trustworthy systems for qualified long-term preservation service.
 - System operators: responsible for operating the PSP trustworthy systems on a day-to-day basis. Authorized to perform system backup and recovery.
 - System auditors: authorized to view archives and audit logs of the PSP trustworthy systems.
- i) PSP personnel are formally appointed to trusted roles by the senior management responsible for security.
- j) Personnel have no access to the trusted functions until any necessary checks are completed.

The director of the MitSoft is responsible for employing the personnel complying with these requirements as well as testing their skills and reliability, defining and describing the roles of personnel (including the trusted functions) in their job descriptions.

All the personnel can perform the operations defined by their roles only.

7.3. Asset management

The PSP ensures that its information and other assets receive an appropriate level of protection. In particular, the PSP maintains an inventory of all assets and assigns a classification for the protection requirements to those assets consistent with the risk analysis.

All media are handled securely in accordance with the requirements of the information classification scheme. Media containing sensitive data are securely disposed of when no longer required.

IVITS OF

7.4. Access control

The PSP ensures that PSP system access is limited to properly authorized individuals. In particular:

- a) A firewall is implemented to protect the PSP's internal network domains from unauthorized access, including access by subscribers and third parties. The firewall is configured to prevent all protocols and accesses not required for the operation of the PSP. Technical solution is provided in System Architecture and Management.
- b) The PSP ensures effective administration of user access required for the work of operators, administrators, and auditors. In this way, the system security, including MitSoft PSP user account management, auditing, and timely modification or removal of access, is maintained.
- c) Access to information and application system functions is restricted in accordance with the access control policy, and the PSP system provides sufficient computer security controls for the separation of trusted roles identified in the PSPS, including the separation of security administrator and operation functions. Particularly, use of system utility programs is restricted and tightly controlled.
- d) PSP personnel are properly identified and authenticated before using critical applications related to the qualified long-term preservation.
- e) PSP personnel are accountable for their activities; to this end, event logs are retained (see the section 7.10. Collection of evidence).
- f) Sensitive data is protected against being revealed through re-used storage objects (e.g. deleted files) being accessible to unauthorized users.

The following additional controls are applied to qualified long-term preservation service:

- a) The local network components (e.g. routers) are kept in a physically secure environment, and their configurations are periodically audited for compliance with the requirements specified by the PSP.
- b) Continuous monitoring and alarm facilities are provided to enable the PSP to detect, register, and react in a timely manner upon any unauthorized and/or irregular attempts to access its resources.

7.5. Cryptographic controls

MitSoft PSP of qualified long-term preservation service does not use any own cryptographic keys nor cryptographic devices for preserving electronic signatures and electronic seals.

MitSoft PSP assures that the time stamps used in preservation process come from EU qualified time-stamping authorities that follows state-of-the-art practices for policy and security requirements for trust service providers issuing time stamps.

7.6. Physical and environmental security

The PSP ensures that physical access to critical services is controlled and physical risks to its assets is minimized. In particular:

- a) For the qualified long-term preservation service:
 - Physical access to facilities concerned with qualified long-term preservation service is limited to properly authorized individuals.
 - Controls are implemented to avoid loss, damage or compromise of assets, theft or leak of information, interruption to business activities.

Controls are implemented to avoid compromise or theft of information and information processing facilities.

- b) The following additional controls are applied to qualified long-term preservation service:
 - The qualified long-term preservation service facilities are operated in an environment that physically protects the service from compromise through unauthorized access to systems or data.
 - Physical protection is achieved through the creation of a clearly defined security perimeter around the qualified long-term preservation service. Inside this perimeter, there are no parts of the premises shared with other organizations.
 - Physical and environmental security controls are implemented to protect the facility that houses system resources, the system resources themselves, and the facilities used to support their operation. The PSP's physical and environmental security policy for systems concerned with qualified long-term preservation service addresses the physical access control, natural disaster protection, fire safety factors, failure of supporting utilities (e.g. power, telecommunications), structure collapse, plumbing leaks, protection against theft, breaking and entering, and disaster recovery.
 - Controls are implemented to protect against equipment, information, media and software relating to the long-term preservation service being taken off-site without authorization.

PSP's qualified long-term preservation equipment operates in the Rackray Data centre (DC). The boundaries within DC, at the same time, define the security perimeter, unauthorized access to the inside area of which is not possible. The building of the Data centre is protected by the watchers and security service. In this way, the assets (including media) are protected against being taken off-site without authorization or compromise.

Data centre operates a modern air conditioning system, which is maintaining the air temperature necessary and cleaning the air of the dust. If the power supply fails, UPS and the diesel electric power generator maintains normal operation of the system for 4 hours.

To prevent compromise and theft of information, the following measures are taken: in the PSP's equipment, internet connection is limited – only the connections necessary for the provision of qualified long-term preservation are allowed. Firewalls and intrusion protection systems are implemented.

7.7. Operation security

For critical services, as identified by the risk analysis, the PSP uses trustworthy systems and products that are protected against modification. The PSP ensures that the PSP system components are secure and correctly operated, with minimal risk of failure.

In particular:

- a) An analysis of security requirements is carried out at the design and requirements specification stage of any systems development project undertaken by the PSP or on behalf of the PSP to ensure that security is built into IT systems.
- b) Change control procedures are applied for releases, modifications, and emergency software fixes of any operational software and changes to the configuration which applies the TSP's security policy. These procedures include documentation of the changes. The maximum interval between two checks does not exceed 12 months.

- c) The integrity of PSP system components and information is protected against viruses, malicious and unauthorized software by antivirus software installation.
- d) Media used within the PSP trustworthy systems are securely handled to protect media from damage, theft, unauthorized access, and obsolescence.
- e) Media management procedures are employed to protect against obsolescence and deterioration of media within the period of time that records are required to be retained.
- f) Procedures are established and implemented for all trusted and administrative roles that have impact on the provision of long-term preservation services.
- g) Security patches management procedures are employed to ensure that:
 - security patches are applied within a reasonable time after they come available;
 - security patches are not applied if they introduce additional vulnerabilities or instabilities that outweigh the benefits of applying them; and
 - the reasons for not applying any security patches are documented.
- h) Capacity demands are monitored and projections of future capacity requirements made to ensure that adequate processing power and storage are available.

7.8. Network security

MitSofi

The PSP maintains and protects PSP system hosted by Rackray data centre in a secure internal network, accessible by the personnel in trusted roles only. The same security controls are applied to all system's components co-located in the secure internal network:

- a) The PSP segments preservation system's network based on information security policy requirements according to functional, logical, and physical relationship between components as provided in the document System Architecture and Management.
- b) The PSP restricts access and communications between these zones to those necessary for the operation of the PSP.
- c) The configuration of PSP systems is hardened so that only the necessary accounts, applications, services, protocols, and ports are used.
- d) The information security policy of the PSP identifies the trusted roles and assigns the corresponding responsibilities in order to implement network security practices. The PSP reviews the established rules set on a regular basis.
- e) The PSP keeps all subsystems that are critical to the PSP's operation in secured zones as indicated in System Architecture and Management.
- f) The PSP separates dedicated network for administration of IT systems and PSP's operational secure internal network.
- g) The PSP does not use systems used for administration of the security policy implementation for other purposes.
- h) The PSP separates the production system for the PSP's services from systems used in development and testing. Development and test systems are hosted in MitSoft location and facilities.
- According to System Architecture and Management the PSP establishes communication between distinct subsystems only through trusted channels that are logically distinct from other communication channels and provide assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from modification or disclosure.
- j) A high level of availability of external access to the preservation service is not required.



- The PSP performs a penetration test on the PSP's systems at set up and after infrastructure or application upgrades or modifications that the PSP determines are significant.
- m) The PSP provides evidence that each vulnerability scan and penetration test are performed by persons with the skills, tools, proficiency, and independence necessary to provide a reliable report.
- n) The architecture of preservation service is designed and implemented in such a way that all storage access by the preservation client, changing the content of the storage is done by the preservation service.

7.9. Incident management

MitSofi

The PSP is constantly monitoring system activities concerning access to and use of the PSP's systems:

- a) Monitoring activities analyse system status and collect a technical information that is restricted according to the MitSoft PSP information classification scheme and accessible only to persons in trusted role with security obligations.
- b) Abnormal system activities that indicate potential security violations, including possible intrusions, are detected and reported as alarms based on monitoring functionality and obligations of system administrators and system operator.
- c) The monitoring includes the start-up and shutdown of the logging functions and the availability and utilization of needed services with the PSP's network.
- d) The PSP acts in a timely and coordinated manner in order to respond quickly to incidents and to limit the impact of breaches of security as described in Incident management procedure.
- e) The PSP appoints in job descriptions system administrator trusted role personnel to follow up on alerts of potentially critical security events and ensure that relevant incidents are reported in line with the PSP's procedures.
- f) The PSP establishes procedures to notify the appropriate parties in line with the applicable regulatory rules of any breach of security or loss of integrity that has a significant impact on the trust service provided and on the personal data maintained therein within 24 hours of the breach being identified.
- g) The PSP notifies the natural or legal person on the breach of security or loss of integrity without undue delay when the breach of security or loss of integrity is likely to adversely affect a natural or legal person to whom the trusted service has been provided according to Incident management procedure.
- h) The PSP's systems are monitored including the monitoring or regular review of audit logs to identify evidence of malicious activity. The PSP implements automatic mechanisms to process the audit logs and alert personnel of possible critical security events. System auditor acts according to the System audit procedure.
- i) The PSP addresses any new critical vulnerability within a period of 48 hours after its discovery.
- j) For any vulnerability, the PSP determines the cost of the potential impact and based on it creates and implements the vulnerability's mitigation plan or documents the factual basis for the PSP's determination that the vulnerability does not require remediation.
- k) Incident reporting and response procedures are employed in such a way that damage from security incidents and malfunctions are minimized.

MitSol

7.10. Collection of evidence

The PSP ensures that all relevant information concerning the operation of longterm preservation services is recorded and stored for an appropriate period of time, for the purpose of providing evidence for the purposes of legal proceedings. In particular:

- a) The specific events and data to be logged are documented in the PSP's information security policy, including clients calls to API operations, services operations, and interactive actions of users.
- b) The confidentiality and integrity of current and archived records concerning operation of long-term preservation services is maintained.
- c) Records concerning the operation of qualified long-term preservation service are completely and confidentially archived in accordance with disclosed PSP practices.
- d) Records concerning the operation of qualified long-term preservation service are made available if required for the purposes of providing evidence of the correct operation of the qualified long-term preservation service for the purpose of legal proceedings.
- e) The precise time of clock adjustments of over 1 second is recorded. The time used to record events in the audit log is synchronized with UTC at least once a day.
- f) Records concerning qualified long-term preservation service are held for a period of time as appropriate for providing necessary legal evidence and as notified in the PSP terms and conditions.
- g) The events are logged in a way that they cannot be easily deleted or destroyed (except if reliably transferred to long-term media) within the period of time that they are required to be held.
- h) Any information recorded about subscribers are kept confidential except as where agreement is obtained from the subscriber for its wider publication.

7.11. Business continuity management

The PSP has an up-to-date continuity plan to enact in case of a disaster. In the event of a disaster operations are restored within the delay established in the continuity plan, addressing any cause of the disaster which may recur (e.g. a security vulnerability) with appropriate remediation measures.

The PSP ensure that in the case of events which affect the security of the PSP's services, relevant information is made available to subscribers and relying parties.

7.12. PSP termination and termination plans

The PSP ensures that potential disruptions to subscribers and relying parties are minimized as a result of the cessation of the PSP's qualified long-term preservation service, and during tolerance period continued maintenance of information required to enable preservation or access to preservation objects. The PSP has an up-to-date termination plan and before the PSP terminates its qualified long-term preservation service, the following procedures are executed as a minimum:

a) The PSP makes available to all subscribers, relying parties, and the supervisory body information concerning its termination at least 3 months in advance, by using the available contact data.

- b) PSP terminates authorization of all subcontractors to act on behalf of the PSP in carrying out any functions relating to the process of preservation service provision.
- c) The PSP transfers obligations to a reliable party for maintaining event log and audit archives necessary to demonstrate the correct operation of the PSP for a reasonable period.
- d) The PSP does not use own signing cryptography.
- e) Before the PSP terminates its services, where possible PSP will make efforts to transfer provision of trust services for its existing customers to another PSP.
- f) The PSP has an arrangement to cover the costs to fulfil these minimum requirements in case the PSP becomes bankrupt or for other reasons is unable to cover the costs by itself.
- g) In the case of service termination PSP will notify affected entities and, if applicable, transfer the PSP's obligations to other parties.
- h) The PSP does not sign preservation evidences. The preservation evidences are qualified time stamps signed by qualified time stamping authority.
- i) The termination plan defines what happens with the stored POs at the termination of the preservation service.

7.13. Compliance

MitSofi

PSP confirms that the MitSoft preservation service conforms to the QPSP and the PSPS. In this way, PSP undertakes all the obligations defined in the QPSP and fulfils all the defined requirements for its activities.

The compliance of the PSP 's activities with the QPSP and PSPS is verified as defined by this PSPS, at least every two years.

The PSP ensures compliance with legal requirements. In particular:

- a) Compliance with the requirements of the Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 [eIDAS] is confirmed at least every 24 months by an audit performed by an accredited conformity assessment body.
- b) The PSP has no specific requirements for use of the services which could prevent access for persons with disabilities. Preservation service client software will provide user interface. Preservation service it self provide limited user interface for administration purpose.
- c) The PSP ensures that the requirements of the European Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC, as it is implemented through Lithuanian legislation, are met:
 - For the purpose of provision of the long-term preservation services, the PSP requires to provide a preservation request as defined in [TS 119 511], together with authentication data if appropriate for the authentication method chosen.
 - The PSP processes the data together with the communication level attributes as necessary to provide a long-term preservation and to fulfil the requirements of the applicable standards, including monitoring for security, accounting and capacity planning.
 - No other data, including personal data, is collected or processed during the provision of the services.
 - Appropriate technical and organizational measures are taken against unauthorized or unlawful processing, disclosure, accidental loss or destruction of, or damage to, the data received.
 - MitSoft UAB has policy for personal data processing, employees involved have signed confidentiality agreements.

Mason

- d) MitSoft PSP preservation operational software has no user interface, it provides API that should be used from client system.
- e) Activity Termination Plan is to be approved by Communications Regulatory Authority of the Republic of Lithuania (RRT) performing role of National Supervisory Body of qualified trust service providers.

7.14. Cryptographic monitoring

MitSoft PSP cryptographic monitoring covers two sets of cryptographic algorithms used by the preservation service:

- Cryptographic algorithms already used inside submission data objects.
- Cryptographic algorithms used for digital signature augmentation. Digital signature augmentation is performed: if submitted preservation object omits validation data (or part of it) and it is augmented to reach B-LTA level (or corresponding signature format for non-baseline signatures), or if certificate used to sign preservation evidence is going to expire, or cryptographic algorithms used in preservation evidences become less secure.

Cryptographic monitoring for algorithms used inside submission data objects is based on the current algorithm reliability status and is used only during digital signature validation (performed on preservation object submission or by the request).

Cryptographic monitoring for algorithms used for digital signature augmentation is based on the expected algorithm reliability status and is applied for the newly created preservation evidences (time stamps) only.

MitSoft PSP Cryptographic monitoring implementation is based on the Cryptographic algorithm registry and digital signature preservation metadata.

Cryptographic algorithm registry stores information about supported cryptographic algorithms and their reliability status. The stored data cover the following:

- Name of the algorithm.
- Algorithm identifiers (OID and URI).
- Algorithm type (hash function, signature algorithm, canonicalization algorithm).
- Key lengths (for signature algorithms only).
- Algorithm reliability status is it reliable at the current time and may be used in the submitted data, is it reliable to be used for preservation evidences collected during digital signature augmentation.
- Expected algorithm reliability time the time it is thought, that algorithm will be still reliable at least at that time. Expected algorithm reliability time may be set and also may be moved forward during Cryptographic algorithm registry revision, if new information about algorithm reliability becomes available.

MitSoft PSP Cryptographic algorithm registry revision and update is performed regularly and reflects the recommendations presented in the standard ETSI TS 119 312 "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Cryptographic Suites" standard [TS 119 312]. The expected resistance period according to ETSI TS 119 312 of the cryptographic algorithms (their parameters and key sizes) used for new preservation evidences should be 3 years or more at a time of augmentation.

Cryptographic algorithm registry revision and update is performed by the system administrator of the MitSoft PSP. In the case cryptographic algorithm defined in the preservation evidence policy was identified to become no more secure enough for new preservation evidence creation, the new version of the Preservation evidence policy is issued.

MitSoft

Digital signature preservation metadata is the data about digital signature preservation status, cryptographic algorithms used in the preservation evidences, planned augmentations and preservation period. Digital signature preservation metadata is collected during submission data object reception, stored separately from the preservation objects in the preservation service storage, and updated during further augmentations. Digital signature preservation metadata cover at least the following:

- Digital signature identifier.
- Current digital signature level (B-B, B-T, B-LT, B-LTA, or corresponding signature format for non-baseline profile signatures).
- Indication if digital signature preservation to be performed or not.
- If digital signature level is B-LTA, the hash algorithm used for the last archival time stamp digest calculation, signature algorithm (with key length) and the hash algorithm used by the timestamping authority to sign time stamp itself.
- The date of the next planned augmentation.
- The end date of the preservation period.

Main rules of the next augmentation date estimation used by the MitSoft PSP:

- If the preservation of digital signature is not performed, then next augmentation date is set to NULL. It means no augmentation will be performed for this digital signature.
- If the level of digital signature is B-B, then next augmentation date is set to current date. It means, that digital signature augmentation should be performed immediately to reach at least B-T level.
- If the level of digital signature is B-T or B-LT, the next augmentation date is set to proof of existence time of the signature value (signature time stamp generation time) + grace period applied by the certification authority (issuer of the signer certificate). If grace period is unknown, default 24 hours grace period is used. It means, that digital signature augmentation to reach B-LTA level should be performed as soon as suitable validation data become available.
- If the level of digital signature is B-LTA, then next augmentation date is calculated according the last archival time stamp signing certificate expiration date and expected reliability duration of the used cryptographic algorithms. Since augmentation process takes time, and there may be a great number of digital signatures preserved by the time stamps signed using the same timestamping authority certificate, next augmentation date is set within augmentation period and prior to caution period. Augmentation period is a reasonable time period, which starts some time before expected digital signature validity expiration and lasts till the expected digital signature validity expiration date. In order to reduce the risks of possible unavailability of third-party services, the next augmentation date is set prior to caution period. Caution period used by the preservation service is indicated in Subscriber agreement.
- If cryptographic algorithm registry revision recognizes, that some cryptographic algorithm will become less secure earlier than it was expected, then irregular augmentation event is raised. In this case, the next augmentation date is updated according the new expected algorithm reliability time. The digital signatures affected by this event are determined using digital signature preservation metadata.

Digital signature augmentation is performed automatically by the system. Next augmentation date triggers an augmentation event, which puts preserving electronic document or container (with the digital signature to be augmented) into the augmentation queue, which is used by the automatic augmentation process.

7.15. Augmentation of preservation evidences

Preservation goal PDS (extending over long periods of time the validity status of digital signatures) is achieved by timely electronic signatures and electronic seals augmentation.

Used preservation evidences are time stamps that are signed by the directly trusted timestamping authority certificates, which are included into the time stamps. This assures that no additional validation data is needed to augment time stamp itself.

Missing validation data gathering is performed as soon as suitable validation data is available (just after grace-period). Preservation is not performed for electronic signatures and electronic seals, for which suitable validation data is unavailable. For qualified electronic signatures and qualified electronic seals certificate authorities (as qualified trusted service providers issuing qualified certificates) shall ensure, that suitable validation data to be available for every certificate to be validated.

Augmentation is performed not for the separate preservation evidence, but augmentation is performed for the whole digital signature including previously added preservation evidences. Therefore, preservation evidences augmentation is achieved by digital signature augmentation. Cryptographic monitoring and required augmentation planning (see Section 7.14 for details) assures PDS preservation goal to be achieved.

7.16. Export-import package

Mitsol

MitSoft PSP allows the subscriber's representative named as subscriber's administrator of MitSoft PSP long-term preservation service to submit the request for export-import package(s), containing the preserved data, the evidences and all information needed to validate the evidences. The request for export-import package shall be done by e-mail indicating the criteria that to be used to select the preservation objects to be included in the export-import package.

The export-import package is not encrypted and it is delivered to subscriber's administrator of the service using secure data transfer protocol.

MitSoft PSP keeps records of all released export-import packages including:

- 1) the date of the event;
- 2) the criteria that has been used to select the set of preservation objects to be included in the export-import package.

The structure of export-import package is defined in the separate document "Export-import package".

The version of the Export-import package definition in effect is available for subscribers on the repository of MitSoft PSP.

8. Operational and notification protocols

8.1. Preservation protocol

Preservation protocol is implemented through preservation profiles and Web service operations supported by them. Operations are implemented as REST web services. Web service caller authentication is used and communication encryption (Secure Sockets Layer) is applied. Only authenticated clients may use/call operations defined in the preservation profiles.

Preservation service is available using one of the available preservation profiles - MitSoftQWST and MitSoftQWOS (see section 6.4). Both preservation profiles support the following operation:

• RetrieveInfo (defined in the ETSI TS 119 512): allows to retrieve information about the currently and previously supported preservation profiles.

Preservation profile MitSoftQWST supports the following operations:

- Store (defined in the preservation profile MitSoftQWST): allows to pass electronic document/container signed with electronic signature(-s)/seal(-s) together with additional metadata to the preservation service for its further preservation and storage in the MitSoft preservation service; supported submission data objects and their formats are defined in the MitSoftQWST profile. If a preservation object with provided identifier already exists in the preservation service, it is replaced by the submitted data object.
- Status (defined in the preservation profile MitSoftQWST): allows to get current status of the electronic document/container and preserving electronic signatures/seals within it.
- Download (defined in the preservation profile MitSoftQWST): allows to download the electronic document/container together with preserving electronic signatures/seals and preservation evidences within it.
- Remove (defined in the preservation profile MitSoftQWST): allows to remove currently preserving electronic document/container together with preserving electronic signatures/seals and preservation evidences within it; associated metadata is also removed; electronic document/container preservation is to be stopped. The corresponding submission data object is not preserved by the MitSoft preservation service.
- PreservePo (defined in the ETSI TS 119 512): allows to pass electronic document/container signed with electronic signature(-s)/seal(-s) to the preservation service for its further preservation and storage in the MitSoft preservation service. Supported submission data objects and their formats are defined in the MitSoftQWST profile. If a preservation object with provided identifier already exists in the preservation service, it is replaced by the submitted data object
- RetrievePO (defined in the ETSI TS 119 512): allows to retrieve the electronic document/container together with preserving electronic signatures/seals and preservation evidences within it.
- DeletePO (defined in the ETSI TS 119 512): allows to delete currently preserving electronic document/container together with preserving electronic signatures/seals and preservation evidences within it; associated metadata is also removed; electronic document/container preservation is to be stopped. The corresponding submission data object is not preserved by the MitSoft preservation service.
- RetrieveTrace (defined in the ETSI TS 119 512): allows to retrieve the audit trail of the electronic document/container and preserving electronic



• Search (defined in the ETSI TS 119 512): allows to search among the set of preservation objects that are accessible by the client.

Preservation profile MitSoftQWOS supports the following operations:

- Augment (defined in the preservation profile MitSoftQWOS): allows to perform preservation action for the provided electronic document/container signed with electronic signature(-s)/seal(-s); preservation action covers preserving digital signatures validation and augmentation; provided electronic document/container with augmented electronic signature(-s)/seal(-s) and preservation evidences within them to be returned; supported submission data objects and their formats are defined in the MitSoftQWOS profile. Calculated expected evidence duration and recommended time of the next augmentation are also returned.
- Download (defined in the preservation profile MitSoftQWOS): allows to download the electronic document/container previously augmented with Augment operation. It is used for efficient retrieving result of the Augment operation only.
- PreservePO (defined in the ETSI TS 119 512): allows to perform preservation action for the provided electronic document/container signed with electronic signature(-s)/seal(-s); preservation action covers preserving digital signatures validation and augmentation; provided electronic document/container with augmented electronic signature(-s)/seal(-s) and preservation evidences within them to be returned; submission data objects and their formats are defined in the MitSoftQWOS profile. Calculated expected evidence duration and recommended time of the next augmentation are also returned.

The details of the supported operations and used preservation protocol are fully described in the preservation profiles documents (see section 6.4 for references to documents).

8.2. Notification protocol

Not applicable.

VitSoti

9. Preservation process

9.1. Storage of preserved data and evidences

MitSoft PSP stores preservation objects during whole preservation period, or till the explicit request for removal. Preservation objects provided to preservation service are not stored within PSP storage in the case profile without storage (WOS) is used. All preservation evidences are incorporated into the preservation objects and they are stored and deleted together with preservation objects and submission data objects.

Most subscribers have legal obligations to perform their own legal procedures before physical deletion of preserved electronic document, even after the preservation period. Therefore, preservation objects are stored in the PSP storage until they are removed by the subscriber. Subscriber agreements between the PSP and the subscribers define the exact period of the preservation object and its metadata storage after elapsed preservation period.

If preservation period elapsed, no preservation actions (augmentation) will be performed any more.

9.2. Preservation evidences

The only preservation evidences used are qualified electronic time stamps that are aligned with the following standards:

- RFC 3161 Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure. Time-Stamp Protocol (TSP) [RFC 3161].
- RFC 5816 ESSCertIDv2 Update for RFC 3161 [RFC 5816].
- ETSI EN 319 422 Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Timestamping protocol and time-stamp token profiles [EN 319 422].

There are used only qualified electronic time stamps that satisfies the following requirements:

- It is qualified electronic time stamp as per eIDAS Regulation [eIDAS].
- It is issued by the EU qualified time-stamping authority.
- Certificate used to sign qualified electronic time stamp is presented within time stamp.
- Certificate used to sign qualified electronic time stamp itself is listed in the EU Trusted List.

These requirements assure, that no more additional validation data is needed to validate time stamp itself.

Every preservation evidence (time stamp) is stored within preserving electronic signature or electronic seal, since it is included as appropriate time stamp attribute.

9.3. Preservation of digital signatures

Preservation of digital signatures is achieved by timely digital signature augmentation. This is performed through 3 stages:

- B-T level assurance. It is performed at the time of submission, if valid signature time stamp is missing in the digital signature. New signature time stamp is obtained and included into the digital signature. This provides the proof of existence for the digital signature.
- B-LTA level assurance. It is performed immediately after grace-period elapsed (or at the time of submission, if grace-period is already elapsed), if validation data or part of it is missing, or all covering time stamp is not presented within digital signature (B-LTA level is not reached). Missing



validation data is collected and included into the digital signature. This assures, that all required validation data is collect at the time it is still available and still suitable for validation. New archival time stamp, which covers all digital signature data, validation data and actually signed data, is obtained and included into the digital signature. This provides the proof of existence for validation data, previously added time stamps and also for actually signed data. Supported preservation object formats assures, that archival time stamp directly covers the signed data even if detached signatures are used.

- B-LTA level preservation assurance. It is performed when preservation event is raised by the maintenance process (see clause 7.14). New archival time stamp is obtained and included into the digital signature. This provides the new proof of existence for previously added time stamps, validation data, signed data and protection against certificate expiration and possible future obsolescence of the used cryptographic algorithms.

Digital signature augmentation (and validation) is based on the standard ETSI EN 319 102-1 Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Procedures for Creation and Validation of AdES Digital Signatures; Part 1: Creation and Validation [EN 319 102-1] and is performed according Signature validation policy of MitSoft PS.

Supported preservation object formats assures, that signed data will be provided to the preservation service together with the digital signature. Detached signatures with only hashes of the signed data (without signed data itself) is not supported by MitSoft PSP.